

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/701,791	01/19/2001		Eiichi Tazawa	74457/07588	8493	
33356	7590	06/16/2004		EXAM	IINER	
SOCAL IP LAW GROUP				LAZOR, MI	LAZOR, MICHELLE A	
		3LVD. STE 120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91362				AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER	
				1734		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* . 2 %		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/701,791	TAZAWA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michelle A Lazor	1734		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
THE - External filter - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C s (3K) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatile period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty beriod will expire SIX (6) MONI statute, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed (20) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. AMDONEO (36 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>21 May 2004</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 2.3 and 7-16 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		, ,		
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [Section 2.] Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docured in the copies of the priority docured in the copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bushee the attached detailed Office action for the copies of the attached detailed office action for the copies of the attached detailed office action for the copies of the attached detailed office action for the copies of the attached detailed office action for the copies of the attached detailed office action for the copies of the copi	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been uureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
			,		
Attachmen		_			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art and Nakamura ("Recent Cements"; Concrete Products, Industry and Products No. 53, p. 42 53).

The admitted prior art discloses manufacturing chemically pre-stressed components, which comprise molding concretes formed by kneading a cement composition (page 7, lines 17–18) containing a cement and an expansive additive (page 1, line 24 – page 2, line 4), but does not specifically disclose curing the same in high temperature high pressure curing water at over 100°C. However, Nakamura discloses curing using high temperature high pressure curing water at over 100°C (English translation page 3, lines 5 – 21 or equivalently page 43, paragraph 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use high temperature high pressure curing water at over 100°C to promote hardening of the concrete (English translation page 1, lines 26 – 29 or equivalently page 42, paragraph 1). Moreover using the high temperature water would promote rapid curing of the cement composition because cement curing times are known to decrease with an increase in temperature.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1734

3. Claims 2, 3, 7 - 14, 15, and 16 are allowed for reasons outlined in the non-final office action mailed 1/20/04.

Response to Arguments

- 4. With respect to claims 7 14, the objection has been withdrawn in light of the amendments.
- Regarding the arguments presented by the Applicant with respect to Claim 1, Examiner respectfully disagrees. The portion of the patent application cited in the non-final office action mailed 1/20/04 points to the English translation of the specification of Nakamura, which is equivalently page 43, paragraph 2. There, Nakamura discloses using high temperature high pressure curing water at over 100°C (iv) as discussed above. Additionally, the fact that high temperature water is known to promote rapid curing of the cement composition was included as further reason to use, specifically, high temperature water. One in the art would appreciate using high temperature high pressure curing water at over 100°C to promote hardening of the concrete, as discussed above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1734

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle A Lazor whose telephone number is 571-272-1232.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Wed 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAL 6/2/04

Sulle Newerlo Ja

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER